

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/619,371	07/19/2000	Patrick J. Treado	000537	2198	
23464	7590 04/04/2003				
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL, P.C.			EXAMINER		
20TH FLOOR	ONE OXFORD CENTRE, 301 GRANT STREET 20TH FLOOR			AMARI, ALESSANDRO V	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/619,371	TREADO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alessandro V. Amari	2872			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	Decreasing to accomplishing (a) filed on 47.1					
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2003.					
2a) ☐	,—	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-8,18,20-23,25,27-35,43-45,47 and</u>	<u>48</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8,18,20-23,25,27-35,43-45,47 and 48</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15)          Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.     </li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/619,371

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claims 45 and 47 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 45, line 8, the phrase "said liquid crystal tunable filer" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-6, 7, 8, 28-32, 33, 34, 35, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feld et al PCT WO95/11624 in view of Wach et al U.S. Patent 6,222,970.

In regard to claims 2, 28 and 48, Feld et al teaches (see Figure 1, 2-4) a Raman imaging fiberscope for the collection of white light images, Raman chemical images and Raman spectra from a sample comprising: an outerjacket as shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4 and as described on page 37, lines 8-10; one or more white light illumination fibers, disposed in said outerjacket, for transmitting white light from a white light source to said sample as described on page 39, lines 19-22 and as shown in Figure 1, 2-4, one or more laser illumination fibers (52), disposed in said outer jacket, for transmitting laser light of a specific laser excitation wavelength from a laser source to said sample; a

page 6, lines 1-21 and page 37, lines 3-11.

coherent fiber bundle (54), disposed in said outer jacket, for transmitting a white light image of said sample and a Raman chemical image of said sample based on light scattered, reflected or emitted from said sample from one end of said fiber bundle proximate said sample to the opposite end of said fiber bundle distal said sample wherein said white light images, said Raman chemical images and said Raman spectra are all collected through said coherent fiber bundle as described on page 5, lines 20-34,

Regarding claims 4 and 30, Feld et al teaches (see Figure 2) one or more lenses (220, 240) positioned between said sample and said coherent fiber bundle.

Regarding claim 31, Feld et al teaches (see Figure 2) an outer jacket for enclosing said fiberscope, said outer jacket containing said white illumination fibers, said laser illumination fibers and said coherent fiber bundle as described on page 13, lines 17-29 and page 37, lines 8-10 and as shown in Figure 1.

Regarding claims 6 and 32, Feld et al teaches (see Figure 2) an optically transparent window (280) disposed at the end of said outer jacket proximate said sample.

However, Feld et al does not teach a laser bandpass filter positioned between said one or more laser illumination fibers and said sample for transmitting said laser light of said specific laser excitation wavelength and rejecting light of other wavelengths, a laser rejection filter positioned between said sample and said coherent fiber bundle for transmitting wavelengths of light other than said specific laser excitation wavelength.

Art Unit: 2872

In regard to claims 2, 28 and 48, Wach et al does teach a laser bandpass filter positioned between said one or more laser illumination fibers and said sample for transmitting said laser light of said specific laser excitation wavelength and rejecting light of other wavelengths, a laser rejection filter positioned between said sample and said coherent fiber bundle for transmitting wavelengths of light other than said specific laser excitation wavelength as described in column 53, lines 15-24 and a spatial filter positioned between sample and coherent fiber bundle as described in column 34, lines 58-61 and column 64, lines 25-32. Regarding claims 3 and 29, Wach et al teaches that said laser bandpass and said laser rejection filters exhibit environmental insensitivity to temperature and humidity as described in column 62, lines 53-67 and column 64, lines 64-67 and column 65, lines 1-23. Regarding claims 5 and 35, Wach et al teaches that said laser rejection and bandpass filters are metal oxide dielectric filters as described in column 80, line 30. Regarding claims 8 and 34, Wach et al teaches that the bandpass filter is spatially patterned into a first portion for filtering said laser light and a second, transparent portion for transmitting light scattered or reflected by said sample to said coherent fiber bundle as described in column 53, lines 9-22. Regarding claims 43 and 44, Wach et al. teaches a spatial filter positioned between said sample and said coherent fiber bundle for controlling the angular field of view of the fibers in said coherent fiber bundle as described in column 34, lines 58-61 and column 64, lines 25-32.

Application/Control Number: 09/619,371

Art Unit: 2872

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the filters of Wach et al in the fiberscope of Feld et al in order to block any unwanted light scattered from the sample.

Regarding claims 7 and 33, Feld et al teaches the invention as set forth above but does not teach that the window is composed of a material selected from a group comprising quartz, diamond and sapphire.

Regarding claims 7 and 33, Wach et al does teach that the window is composed of a material selected from a group comprising quartz, diamond and sapphire as described in column 30, lines 15-18.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the material as taught by Wach et al in the window of Feld et al in order to provide a protection and transparency for the fiberscope elements.

4. Claims 18, 20-23, 25, 27, 45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feld et al PCT WO95/11624 in view of Wach et al U.S. Patent 6,222,970 and further in view of "Liquid Crystal Tunable filter Raman Chemical Imaging" Treado et al.

In regard to claims 18 and 22, the Feld et al and Wach et al teach the invention as set forth above and regarding claims 20, 21, 23 and 45, Feld et al teaches (see Figure 1) a mount for holding said fiberscope in proximity to said sample, a link for directing the output of said fiberscope under white light illumination conditions to a video CCD (100) for viewing on a video monitor (120), a link for directing the output of said fiberscope under laser illumination conditions to a Raman spectrometer (140) and a

CCD camera (140) coupled to output of spectrometer but does not teach that the output of said fiberscope is linked to said liquid crystal tunable filter imaging spectrometer.

Regarding claims 25 and 47, Feld et al teaches (see Figure 1) software and hardware (150) for producing and displaying a Raman chemical image of said sample.

Regarding claim 27, Wach et al teaches a spatial filter positioned between said sample and said coherent fiber bundle for controlling the angular field of view of the fibers in said coherent fiber bundle as described in column 34, lines 58-61 and column 64, lines 25-32.

However, the combination does not teach a liquid crystal tunable filter imaging spectrometer.

In regard to claims 18 and 22, Treado et al does teach a liquid crystal tunable filter imaging spectrometer as described in the abstract.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the liquid crystal tunable filter as taught by Treado et al in the fiberscope of Feld et al in view of Wach et al in order to provide for high spatial and spectral resolution for high definition Raman chemical imaging.

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-8, 18, 20-23, 25, 27-35, 43-45, 47 and 48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alessandro V. Amari whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/619,371

Art Unit: 2872

306-0533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on (703) 308-1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ava *Q Vq* March 27, 2003 MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7